

Guillain-Barré Syndrome

Ted M. Burns, M.D.¹

ABSTRACT

Guillain-Barré syndrome (GBS) is an acute-onset, monophasic, immune-mediated polyneuropathy that often follows an antecedent infection. The diagnosis relies heavily on the clinical impression obtained from the history and examination, although cerebrospinal fluid analysis and electrodiagnostic testing usually provide evidence supportive of the diagnosis. The clinician must also be familiar with mimics and variants to promptly and efficiently reach an accurate diagnosis. Intravenous immunoglobulin and plasma exchange are efficacious treatments. Supportive care during and following hospitalization is also crucial.

KEYWORDS: Guillain-Barré syndrome, inflammatory neuropathy, demyelinating neuropathy, acquired demyelinating neuropathy, Miller Fisher syndrome, acute inflammatory demyelinating polyradiculoneuropathy (AIDP), acute motor axonal neuropathy

Guillain-Barré syndrome (GBS) is an acute-onset, immune-mediated disorder of the peripheral nervous system. The term GBS is often considered to be synonymous with acute inflammatory demyelinating polyradiculoneuropathy (AIDP), but with the increasing recognition over the past few decades of variants, the number of diseases that fall under the rubric GBS has grown to include axonal variants and more restricted variants such as Miller Fisher syndrome (MFS).^{1,2}

HISTORY

The clinical features of GBS were described by Landry in 1859.³ Eichorst in 1877 and Leyden in 1880 described the lymphocytic inflammation of nerve in some cases of peripheral neuropathy. In 1916, Guillain, Barré, and Strohl described the characteristic cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) findings of increased protein concentration and normal cell count in two French soldiers (Guillain 1916). In 1949, Haymaker and Kernohan described the clinical and histopathological features,

including inflammatory changes of the peripheral nerve in 50 fatal cases of GBS.⁴ In the mid-1950s, Waksman and Adams produced experimental allergic neuritis in animals by injection of homologous or heterologous peripheral nerve tissue combined with Freund adjuvant. In the 1980s, plasma exchange was found to be an effective treatment,^{5,6} and in the 1990s, efficacy was also demonstrated for intravenous immunoglobulin (IVIg).^{7,8}

CLINICAL FEATURES AND DIAGNOSIS

The reported incidence rates for GBS are 1 to 2 per 100,000 population.⁹⁻¹¹ The lifetime likelihood of any individual acquiring GBS is 1:1000.¹² GBS is equally common in men and women and can occur at any age.

An otherwise unremarkable infection, such as an upper respiratory infection, often predates the onset of GBS by 10 to 14 days.^{9,12} Many antecedent infections have been identified, including *Campylobacter jejuni*,

¹Department of Neurology, University of Virginia, Charlottesville, Virginia.

Address for correspondence and reprint requests: Ted M. Burns, M.D., Department of Neurology, University of Virginia, PO Box 800394, Charlottesville, VA 22908 (e-mail: tmb8r@virginia.edu).

Neuromuscular Disorders; Guest Editor, Ted M. Burns, M.D.

Semin Neurol 2008;28:152-167. Copyright © 2008 by Thieme Medical Publishers, Inc., 333 Seventh Avenue, New York, NY 10001, USA. Tel: +1(212) 584-4662.

DOI 10.1055/s-2008-1062261. ISSN 0271-8235.

cytomegalovirus (CMV), *Mycoplasma pneumoniae*, Epstein-Barr virus, and influenza virus.^{13,14} Surgery, immunization, and parturition have also been associated with GBS. GBS usually begins abruptly with distal, relatively symmetrical onset of paresthesias. Sensory disturbances are accompanied by or quickly followed by progressive limb weakness. Patients are able to identify a definite date of onset of sensory and motor disturbances. Progression is rapid, with ~50% of patients reaching clinical nadir by 2 weeks and more than 90% by 4 weeks.¹⁵ Current diagnostic criteria include < 4 weeks of progression to clinical nadir. Approximately 80 to 90% of patients with GBS become non-ambulatory during the illness.^{5,16,17} Pain is prominent in ~50% of patients.^{1,4,17-20} Neurological examination will demonstrate distal and often proximal, relatively symmetrical, weakness. Sensory examination is often normal in the early phase of disease.²¹ Widespread areflexia or hyporeflexia is the rule.^{15,22} GBS patients often develop cranial nerve weakness, usually in the form of facial or pharyngeal weakness.⁴ Diaphragmatic weakness due to phrenic nerve involvement is also common. Approximately one third of hospitalized GBS patients require mechanical ventilation because of respiratory muscle or oropharyngeal weakness.^{5,6,8,9,21,23-29} Autonomic disturbance is seen in more than 50%.³⁰⁻³⁶ The autonomic disturbance usually manifests as tachycardia but more serious autonomic nervous system dysfunction may occur, including life-threatening arrhythmias, hypotension, hypertension, and gastrointestinal dysmotility.

Supportive ancillary testing for GBS includes CSF analysis and electrodiagnostic testing, both of which may be normal in the early phase of GBS. The limitations of ancillary testing in the early phase combined with the importance of prompt treatment of GBS mandates that the clinician at times make the diagnosis based solely on history and examination. An elevated CSF protein concentration (with normal cell count) is only found on initial CSF analysis in ~50% of patients; elevated CSF protein concentration occurs in more than 90% of patients at clinical nadir.²¹ There is probably no reason to repeat the CSF analysis if the initial CSF is normal and there is a reasonable degree of certainty about the clinical diagnosis. CSF pleocytosis is not seen in GBS and raises the question of infectious (HIV, CMV, Lyme, sarcoid), carcinomatous, or lymphomatous polyradiculoneuropathy.

Electrodiagnostic testing is performed to support the clinical impression that the acute motor paralysis is caused by a peripheral neuropathy. Electrodiagnostic testing of GBS patients often also demonstrates features of demyelination, such as temporal dispersion, significantly slow conduction velocities, and prolonged distal and F-wave latencies.³⁷ Electrodiagnostic testing features of *acquired* demyelination (e.g., conduction block, temporal dispersion, nonuniform slowing of conduction

velocities) are particularly helpful because these findings are characteristic of immune-mediated demyelinating neuropathies. In early GBS, prolonged distal compound muscle action potential (CMAP) latencies and temporal dispersion are more commonly demonstrated than are slow motor conduction velocities and conduction block.³⁸⁻⁴⁰ For example, Gordon and Wilbourn reported that of 31 patients with GBS studied within the first week of symptoms, only 5 had nerve conduction velocities in the demyelinating range in at least one nerve and only 4 of them demonstrated conduction block in at least one nerve.⁴⁰ On the other hand, temporal dispersion was seen in at least one nerve in more than 50%, and significantly prolonged distal CMAP latencies were seen in at least one nerve of approximately two thirds of patients studied within the first week.⁴⁰ Another electrodiagnostic testing signature of GBS is the "sural-sparing" pattern; that is, the finding of a normal sural sensory nerve response in the setting of abnormal upper extremity sensory nerve results (e.g., ulnar or median antidromic sensory responses).^{38,40} The sural-sparing pattern is seen in approximately one half to two thirds of patients with GBS studied within the first week of symptoms.^{38,40} This pattern—normal lower extremity but abnormal upper extremity sensory nerve conduction studies—is very unusual for neuropathies other than GBS. Other electrodiagnostic testing abnormalities are frequently encountered in early GBS but they are less specific to GBS. These include absent H-reflexes, low motor nerve CMAP amplitudes on distal stimulation, and prolonged F-wave responses.³⁸⁻⁴⁰ It is reported that the H-reflex was absent in 97% of GBS patients within the first week of symptom onset.⁴⁰ It should also be pointed out that motor electrodiagnostic testing findings are more often abnormal than sensory nerve results in early GBS. In one study, ~90% of GBS patients had motor nerve conduction abnormalities—often low CMAP amplitudes—but only 25% had sensory nerve conduction abnormalities in the first week of GBS.³⁸ Blink studies are very often abnormal in GBS patients with facial weakness.³⁹ Prolonged compound muscle action potential duration (> 8.5 msec) on distal stimulation may suggest distal demyelination and can be helpful in some cases.⁴¹ Needle examination typically demonstrates the finding of reduced motor unit action potential recruitment in clinically weak muscles. With regard to prognosis, very low CMAPs on distal stimulation (i.e., mean distal CMAP [summed from peroneal, tibial, median and ulnar motor nerves] of 0 to 20% of the lower limit of normal) on initial electrodiagnostic testing has been shown to be associated with a markedly increased probability of a poor long-term outcome.^{42,43}

Magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) of the spine or brain is commonly performed to rule out a mimic of GBS, such as myelopathy or infiltrative or compressive causes of polyradiculoneuropathy. Moreover, MRI can

support the diagnosis of GBS by revealing enhancement of involved nerve roots or cranial nerves.⁴⁴⁻⁴⁶ Other than for cases of MFS (associated with anti-GQ1b antibodies), at the present time there is no diagnostic value in assaying antiganglioside antibody values in a patient with GBS.

VARIANTS

Commonly recognized variants include those with severe axon loss, variants in which one particular fiber type (sensory or autonomic) is predominantly affected, and MFS.² (See <http://www.aanem.org/education/podcast/index.cfm> to listen to a podcast interview with Dr. C. Miller Fisher discussing his 1956 New England Journal of Medicine article that described three cases of what later became known as MFS.) Variants with regional or a markedly asymmetric distribution also occur.¹ There are also differences in abruptness of onset and time to reach nadir, which can complicate diagnosis and decisions about treatment. For example, some patients have clinical features and disease course similar to GBS except for a slower progression (i.e., progression that lasts longer than 4 weeks); this disease is sometimes referred to as subacute inflammatory demyelinating polyradiculoneuropathy (SIDP)^{47,48}; however, in many respects SIDP is like GBS and often should be treated as such.

Axonal injury occurs to some degree in many cases of GBS,⁴⁹ usually secondary to the pathological events of demyelination (e.g., “bystander” injury).⁵⁰ In the early phase of GBS, any axonal degeneration is almost always overshadowed by the manifestations of acquired demyelination. In many instances of severe GBS, significant secondary axonal damage will develop and impact the degree of residual damage, and thus the long-term outcome. Cases of GBS with primary demyelination and secondary axonal loss should not be confused with the acute axonal form of GBS, a distinct entity that probably represents 5 to 10% of cases of GBS in North America⁵¹⁻⁵⁴ but is more common in Japan and China.⁵⁵⁻⁵⁷ Acute motor and sensory axonal neuropathy and acute motor axonal neuropathy are two variants characterized by immune attack directed at axons rather than Schwann cells and myelin.^{51-53,55,56,58}

Acute motor axonal neuropathy occurs in large epidemics in the summer in northern China and more sporadically elsewhere, including North America, Europe, and Asia.^{56,58} The summer epidemics in northern China mostly affect children, usually from rural areas. Onset of motor weakness is abrupt and is often preceded a few weeks by an upper respiratory or other infection.⁵⁹⁻⁶¹ In addition to acute motor paralysis, many patients have transient neck and back stiffness early in the course with resolution within days. There are no sensory symptoms or signs. CSF studies demonstrate

elevated protein concentration without cells. Recovery usually begins within 3 weeks and is often complete. Mortality rate is roughly 3 to 5%. Sensory nerve conduction studies are normal and motor nerve studies are remarkable for low or absent CMAP amplitudes with normal conduction velocities. Denervating potentials are seen on needle electromyography.⁵⁹

Acute motor and sensory axonal neuropathy shares many pathological features with acute motor axonal neuropathy but differs clinically from it in patient age of onset (usually adults rather than children), geographic distribution (can occur anywhere), time of onset (not only summertime), involvement of sensory nerves, course (protracted), and outcome (usually severe residual disability).^{51-53,55,56,58} Onset is abrupt and progression rapid with most patients requiring mechanical ventilation within a few days of symptom onset. Motor nerves are electrically inexcitable early in the disorder. Sensory nerve conduction studies are also abnormal. Widespread denervation is seen on needle examination. The course is protracted and outcome poor, with only ~20% ambulating at 1 year.⁵²

The most recognizable and distinct regional variant of GBS is MFS.^{1,2,62} Like GBS, onset of MFS often follows an infection, for example *C. jejuni*.⁶³ MFS patients classically present with external ophthalmoparesis, areflexia, and ataxia,² although MFS patients often present with fewer components of the classical clinical triad^{1,62,64-66} or with additional clinical features (facial weakness, oropharyngeal weakness, internal ophthalmoparesis, central nervous system involvement). Bickerstaff's brainstem encephalitis (BBE) is a related syndrome in which alteration of consciousness or corticospinal tract signs are seen in addition to ophthalmoparesis and ataxia. Facial weakness and dysarthria are particularly common in BBE and MFS. Many patients with MFS or BBE also have “overlapping GBS” with flaccid quadriparesis.^{62,67} Anti-GQ1b antibodies are present in ~95% of patients with acute MFS^{68,69} and in approximately two thirds of patients with BBE. The recognition of the various clinical presentations and the high sensitivity and specificity of anti-GQ1b antibody testing has prompted the suggestion that these conditions fall under the rubric of the “anti-GQ1b antibody syndrome.”

Anti-GT1a antibodies are also commonly abnormal on serological testing of these patients.⁷⁰ Rarely, anti-GT1a antibody without anti-GQ1b reactivity is found in patients presenting with the pharyngeal-cervical-brachial (PCB) variant of GBS.^{71,72} More than half of MFS patients will have cytoalbuminological dissociation on CSF analysis performed within the first 3 weeks of disease.⁷³ In MFS, motor nerve conduction studies in the limbs are usually normal or only mildly abnormal with slight reductions in compound muscle action potential amplitudes.⁷⁴ Motor conduction

velocities are usually normal or at most only very mildly abnormal in patients with MFS. Conduction block and temporal dispersion are not seen on testing of limb motor nerves of patients with MFS. Sensory nerve action potential amplitudes are usually moderately to severely reduced, more so in the upper extremity sensory nerves (e.g., median) than the sural nerve. Facial CMAP amplitudes are often reduced without discernible delay in conduction in patients with MFS. Blink reflex R1 latencies may be delayed, and R2 responses may be delayed or absent. Needle electromyogram (EMG) changes are usually normal or only mildly abnormal.⁷⁴ MRI of the brain frequently demonstrates cranial nerve enhancement (e.g., oculomotor nerves) in MFS⁷⁵ and high-intensity abnormalities in the posterior fossa, white matter, or thalami in patients with BBE.⁶⁷ MFS is generally a benign, self-limiting condition. Almost all treated and untreated patients return to normal activities within 6 months of disease onset, usually with resolution of ophthalmoplegia within 1 to 2 months and ataxia within 3 to 4 months.⁷⁶ Other regional variants of GBS are those that affect other specific areas of the body, such as only the face or the afferent sensory and autonomic systems.⁷⁷

MIMICS

To achieve a reasonable degree of certainty about a diagnosis of GBS, the neurologist must consider the mimics (Table 1), keeping in mind, however, that GBS will in fact be the diagnosis in the vast majority of acute-onset polyneuropathies. These mimics should be evaluated for, when appropriate, but whenever possible diagnosis and treatment of GBS should not be delayed because of an inappropriately extensive evaluation for less common mimics. Perhaps acute-onset myelopathy is the entity that most commonly mimics GBS. Acute myelopathy resembling GBS may be caused, for example, by transverse myelitis, acute spinal cord compression, or spinal cord infarct. Corticospinal tract findings, such as hyperreflexia, may not be evident in the acute phase, and thus urgent spinal cord or cauda equina imaging is sometimes indicated. The site of imaging should be based on clinical features. For example, if a patient has motor and sensory features in four extremities, imaging of the cervical cord may be appropriate. If a patient only has clinical features in the lower extremities, imaging more caudally may be indicated.

Vasculitic neuropathy may also resemble GBS, particularly if the distribution of neuropathy mimics GBS by appearing to be relatively symmetric or only slightly asymmetric. For this reason, the neurologist must not only examine the patient but also query the patient about the sequence of neuropathic symptoms to tease out whether the process followed a rapidly progressive multiple mononeuropathy (e.g., “overlapping

mononeuritis multiplex”) pattern typical of systemic vasculitis or a more symmetric pattern typical of GBS. Systemic symptoms (e.g., unexplained weight loss, fevers), multiorgan involvement (e.g., joints, skin, kidney, respiratory tract), serological markers (e.g., elevated sedimentation rate, rheumatoid factor), and absence of an antecedent illness would be some features that would point toward systemic vasculitis and away from GBS.⁷⁸ Chronic inflammatory demyelinating polyneuropathy (CIDP) may sometimes present with abrupt onset and rapid progression to clinical nadir (e.g., < 4 weeks) and thus may be indistinguishable from GBS in the early phase of disease.⁷⁹ Other mimics of GBS are less common (Table 1^{1,80-93}).

PATHOPHYSIOLOGY

Acute Inflammatory Demyelinating Polyradiculoneuropathy

The most common form of GBS is AIDP, which is characterized pathologically by demyelination, lymphocytic infiltration, and macrophage-mediated clearance of myelin.^{3,4,49} Approximately two thirds of GBS cases occur weeks after an infection such as *C. jejuni*, CMV, *Mycoplasma pneumoniae*, or influenza virus.^{13,21} These infectious agents have epitopes on their surface that are similar to epitopes on the surface of peripheral nerves (e.g., gangliosides, glycolipids), resulting in the peripheral nerve acting as a “molecular mimic” of the infectious agent.^{14,94-98} For example, carbohydrate moieties of gangliosides (e.g., GM1, GD1a, GQ1b) found on the surface of the peripheral nerve are structural mimics of the lipooligosaccharides (LOSs) of *C. jejuni*.^{12,77,97,99} During an otherwise trivial infection (e.g., *C. jejuni*), the complement-fixing immunoglobulin (Ig) G antibodies that arise to attack the infection also bind to peripheral nerve gangliosides, inducing autoimmune injury.^{12,94}

Paranodal myelin, exposed axolemma at nodes of Ranvier, and the presynaptic component of the neuromuscular junction are sites of antibody attack of varying degrees for different GBS syndromes and individuals.¹² Macrophage-mediated stripping of myelin also occurs, mediated by antibody and complement deposition on Schwann cell and myelin membranes.^{3,94} Demyelination may occur throughout the length of the nerve, especially and perhaps earliest at proximal nerve roots and distal intramuscular nerve twigs where the blood-nerve barriers are weak.^{12,100} The nerve terminal axons are also damaged in AIDP. Nerve terminal damage follows antibody binding and complement fixation. Activation of the complement pathway leads to membrane attack complex (MAC) formation with degradation of the terminal axonal cytoskeleton and mitochondrial injury.¹² The perisynaptic Schwann cell, like the axonal element

Table 1 Mimics of Guillain-Barré Syndrome and Some of Their Distinguishing Characteristics

Acute myelopathy (e.g., transverse myelitis, cord compression, infarct)	Hyperreflexia, extensor plantar responses (although corticospinal tract findings may be absent early); trauma; absence of antecedent illness. Normal electrodiagnostic testing. Imaging of spine or cauda equina is often indicated to exclude spinal cord or cauda equina structural lesion. ¹
Vasculitic neuropathy	Asymmetric polyneuropathy or multifocal mononeuropathies; very painful, systemic symptoms (e.g., unexplained weight loss, fevers, rash); multiorgan involvement (e.g., joints, skin, kidney, respiratory tract); serologic markers (e.g., elevated sedimentation rate, rheumatoid factor); absence of an antecedent illness. Normal CSF. Axonal polyneuropathy on electrodiagnostic testing. ^{1,78}
Myasthenia gravis	Ocular (e.g., diplopia), bulbar (e.g., dysarthria), and limb weakness without sensory symptoms; fatigable, fluctuating symptoms; absence of an antecedent illness. Pattern of descending weakness. Normal CSF. Abnormal CMAP decrement on slow RNS studies. ⁸⁰
Botulism	Infants (most frequent) and at-risk adults (e.g., foodborne, such as exposure to home canned foods; from wound; injecting drug users). Nausea, vomiting, constipation, diplopia, ophthalmoplegia, ptosis, blurring of vision, dysphagia, dysarthria, urinary retention. Pattern of descending weakness. Normal CSF. Abnormal CMAP decrement on slow RNS studies. Abnormal CMAP facilitation on fast RNS. ^{1,81}
West Nile encephalomyelitis	Fever, meningoencephalitis (may be mild), rash, abdominal pain, back pain; acute-onset lower motor neuronopathy. No sensory disturbance. CSF pleocytosis. Lower motor neuronopathy on electrodiagnostic testing. ^{1,82,83}
Lyme neuroborreliosis	Endemic area during tick season; meningitis, fever, myalgias, arthralgias, facial weakness; tick bite and rash. CSF pleocytosis. Axonal polyradiculoneuropathy on electrodiagnostic testing. ^{84,85}
Heavy metals (e.g., arsenic) and other toxins	Known exposure; neuropathy is accompanied by systemic symptoms (e.g., abdominal pain, diarrhea, constipation, rash, alopecia, central nervous system involvement); absence of an antecedent illness; prominent small-fiber nerve component (e.g., "burning" neuropathic pain). Unremarkable CSF. Axonal polyneuropathy on electrodiagnostic testing. ^{1,86}
Tick paralysis	Children during tick season. Ataxic gait, diplopia, dysarthria, pupillary abnormalities (dilated pupils). No sensory complaints. Normal CSF. Low CMAPs and normal SNAPs on electrodiagnostic testing. Tick on scalp (e.g., behind the ear) or skin (e.g., nape of the neck). ^{87,88}
Acute intermittent porphyria	Accompanying autonomic symptoms (tachycardia, hypertension, constipation, urinary retention), abdominal pain (usually severe), psychiatric and other CNS manifestations; patient with a history of prior suggestive attacks; axonal polyradiculoneuropathy or neuronopathy, often asymmetric. CSF resembles GBS with cytoalbuminological dissociation. ⁸⁹
Buckthorn toxicity	Children living in the southwestern United States and Mexico; little or no sensory symptoms. ⁹⁰
Diphtheria	Patient (e.g., from a developing country) with recent sore throat, fever, and multiple cranial neuropathies (e.g., diplopia, ptosis, dysarthria, dysphagia, numb tongue, gingivae and face). CSF resembles GBS with cytoalbuminological dissociation. Axonal polyradiculoneuropathy on electrodiagnostic testing. ^{1,91}
HIV	GBS more common in HIV patients; occurs at seroconversion. Demyelinating polyradiculoneuropathy on electrodiagnostic testing. CSF pleocytosis. ⁹²
CMV polyradiculopathy	AIDS patient, late stages. Rapidly progressive lower extremity weakness and pain (sparing upper extremities). CSF pleocytosis. Axonal polyradiculopathy on electrodiagnostic testing. ^{1,93}
Poliomyelitis	Endemic area; sore throat, fever, nausea, vomiting, headache, acute-onset lower motor neuronopathy with myalgias and fasciculations. CSF pleocytosis. Lower motor neuronopathy on electrodiagnostic testing. ¹
Critical illness myopathy and polyneuropathy	Quadripareisis in critical care patients. Unremarkable CSF. Myopathic and/or axonal neuropathic features on electrodiagnostic testing.

CSF, cerebrospinal fluid; CMAP, compound muscle action potential; RNS, repetitive nerve stimulation; SNAP, sensory nerve action potential; CNS, central nervous system; GBS, Guillain-Barré syndrome; CMV, cytomegalovirus.

of the nerve terminal, also lies outside the blood-nerve barrier and is probably damaged by antiganglioside antibodies.

Anti-LOS/ganglioside antibodies exist within the natural antibody repertoire, acting as innate defense against bacteria.¹² The carbohydrate moieties of gangliosides elicit a T-cell-independent humoral re-

sponse, and antiganglioside antibodies exist as low-affinity IgM isotypes in normal subjects. The level and affinity of these antibodies is controlled by tolerance in normal subjects, and 99% of humans infected with ganglioside-mimicking strains of *C. jejuni* do not develop significant anti-LOS/ganglioside antibodies or GBS.¹² In GBS, the antibody response has

class-switched from IgM to complement-fixing IgG1 and IgG3 isotypes.

Acute Axonal Neuropathy

Acute motor axonal neuropathy often follows infection with *C. jejuni*, which contain an epitope in their LOS that is also present in GM1 gangliosides of nerve.^{101,102} Like AIDP, acute motor axonal neuropathy is believed to be an IgG- and complement-mediated disorder.^{56,58} The target epitopes are likely the constituents of the axolemma of motor fibers. Antibody binding may alter sodium channel function, causing conduction block. The relatively rapid improvement of many acute motor axonal neuropathy cases may be explained by reversal of IgG-mediated conduction block before development of significant axonal degeneration. Motor nerve terminal and intramuscular axon damage without more proximal degeneration also occurs in at least some cases of acute motor axonal neuropathy, and this might also explain rapid recovery in many patients.¹⁰³ However, in many cases, macrophages are attracted early in the process to the nodes of Ranvier by complement products. The macrophages dissect into the internodal periaxonal spaces, displacing axons from inner Schwann cell plasmalemma, and appear to cause axonal degeneration.^{55,56,58} The extent of macrophage-mediated axonal degeneration likely predicts outcome, with more macrophage-mediated axonal degeneration leading to higher likelihood of mortality and protracted course and incomplete recovery for those who survive.⁵²

Miller Fisher Syndrome

Miller Fisher Syndrome shares many pathophysiological events with AIDP and acute motor axonal neuropathy. Molecular mimicry between infection (e.g., *C. jejuni*) and surface components of peripheral nerve plays a key role leading to humoral and complement activation with MAC formation and nerve axon terminal damage.^{12,63,104} A critical difference between MFS and AIDP or acute motor axonal neuropathy is the activation of anti-GQ1b and anti-GT1a antibodies in MFS that target oculomotor and bulbar nerves, which are nerves thought to have relatively high GQ1b and GT1a ganglioside densities.^{12,68,69,104} The presynaptic nerve terminal axons and perisynaptic Schwann cells are damaged in MFS.^{12,62}

MANAGEMENT

Immunotherapy

Plasma exchange (PE) and IVIg are effective immunotherapies for adult and pediatric patients with GBS if given during the first few weeks of disease.^{6-8,105-116} For

patients with GBS, PE is usually administered as one plasma volume, 50 mL/kg, on five separate occasions over 1 to 2 weeks.^{5,6,8,107,108,113} In a meta-analysis of six class II trials comparing PE to supportive care alone for adults with GBS, 48 out of 321 in the PE group and 106 out of 325 in the control group were on a ventilator after 4 weeks (relative risk 0.56; 95% confidence interval [CI], 0.41 to 0.76; $p = 0.0003$).^{107,117} In a meta-analysis of four trials, 135 of 199 PE and 112 of 205 control patients recovered full muscle strength after 1 year (relative risk 1.24 in favor of PE; 95% CI, 1.07 to 1.45; $P = 0.005$).¹⁰⁷ The cost of PE has been shown to be offset by the savings of a shorter hospital stay.^{118,119} The Quality Standards Subcommittee of the American Academy of Neurology (AAN) concluded in 2003 that PE hastens recovery in nonambulant patients with GBS who seek treatment within 4 weeks of onset, and that PE hastens recovery of ambulant patients with GBS who are examined within 2 weeks.¹⁰⁷ The Quality Standard Subcommittee, therefore, recommends treatment with PE for nonambulant patients with GBS within 4 weeks (level A recommendation) and for ambulant patients within 2 weeks (level B recommendation) of symptom onset.¹⁰⁷ The optimum number of plasma exchanges has not been established, but many physicians use the protocol of the North America Trial in which a total of 200 to 250 mL/kg were exchanged over 7 to 10 days.^{6,106} The French Cooperative Group on Plasma Exchange in GBS demonstrated that for adult patients with mild GBS (able to walk unaided but not run), two exchanges were better than none; and for patients with moderate (unable to walk) or severe (ventilated) GBS, four exchanges were better than two and six exchanges were no better than four.¹¹¹ In a small study looking at the effect of the number of exchanges on Ig levels in serum, including antiganglioside antibody levels, a significant decrease in Ig levels occurred during the first two exchanges but not with subsequent exchanges.¹²⁰

Although IVIg and PE are probably of equal efficacy, nonsignificant trends toward faster recovery have been observed with IVIg in trials designed to compare it to PE.^{7,8,105,107} No compelling evidence favors one treatment over the other.¹⁰⁶ For adult and pediatric patients with GBS, IVIg is usually administered as 2 g/kg total divided over 2 to 5 days.^{106,107,112,115} The Quality Standards Subcommittee of the AAN concluded in 2003 that IVIg has equal efficacy in hastening recovery for GBS patients who require aid to walk if IVIg is started within 2 weeks of the onset.¹⁰⁷ The Quality Standard Subcommittee recommends treatment with IVIg for patients with GBS who require aid to walk within 2 weeks (level A recommendation) or 4 weeks (level B recommendation) of neuropathic symptom onset.¹⁰⁷

The decision to use PE or IVIg must be based on multiple factors, including availability of treatments and

the side effect profiles in the context of the patient's course and comorbidities. In the major trials comparing IVIg to PE, slightly more complications were observed in the PE group than the IVIg group. Significant adverse events associated with PE include hypotension, septicemia, pneumonia, abnormal clotting, complications from central venous access, and hypocalcemia. Citrate infused for anticoagulation or as part of fresh-frozen plasma may lead to hypocalcemia or metabolic acidosis. Symptoms of hypocalcemia include paresthesias, muscle cramps, and, in severe cases, cardiac arrhythmias.¹²¹ Major hemostatic disorders, unstable cardiovascular status, active infection, and pregnancy are contraindications to PE.⁵ Significant adverse events associated with IVIg include renal failure, myocardial infarction, vomiting, and meningismus.^{7,8,107} IVIg is not contraindicated in pregnancy. In general, adverse reactions to IVIg are usually minor and occur in less than 10% of patients. A slow rate of infusion is advised for patients with coronary artery disease or congestive heart failure to avoid fluid overload. IVIg increases serum viscosity, and this may increase the risk of thromboembolic events. IVIg may be relatively contraindicated for patients with elevated serum viscosity (such as that caused by serum cryoglobulins), high triglycerides, or hypergammaglobulinemia.^{122,123} It should also be used judiciously in patients with recent deep vein thrombosis (DVT). Acute tubular necrosis occurs rarely in patients with preexisting kidney disease, especially the elderly and those with diabetes or poor hydration.^{122,123} Acute tubular necrosis is most commonly associated with IVIg products with high concentrations of sucrose. Close monitoring of blood urea nitrogen (BUN) and creatinine and proper hydration are essential during IVIg treatment, particularly for patients at risk for renal tubular necrosis. Diluting the IVIg preparation, slowing the rate of infusion, and selecting products with low osmolality lessen the risk.^{122,123} The prevalence of selective IgA deficiency is 1:1000. When these patients receive IVIg, the IgA in the IVIg may lead to an anaphylactic reaction. However, this is a rare complication occurring most frequently in patients with common variable immunodeficiency, and screening for IgA deficiency before treating a GBS patient with IVIg is not justified.^{123,124}

Corticosteroid treatment is ineffective for treating GBS.^{107,125-127} In a Cochrane systematic review of six trials with 587 patients, the overall evidence showed no significant difference between the corticosteroid- and non-corticosteroid-treated patients in disability grade.¹²⁷ In four trials of oral corticosteroids with 120 patients, there was significantly less clinical improvement after 4 weeks with corticosteroids than without corticosteroids, suggesting that oral corticosteroids may slow recovery. Intravenous methylprednisolone alone does not produce significant benefit or harm. In combination with IVIg, intravenous methylprednisolone (e.g.,

500 mg per day for 5 days, administered within 48 hours of the first dose of IVIg) may hasten recovery but does not appear to significantly affect the long-term outcome.^{127,128}

Immunoabsorption therapy is an alternative technique to PE that does not require using a human blood product as a replacement fluid, thereby reducing risk of infection or allergic reaction.¹²⁹⁻¹³¹ Immunoabsorption therapy removes Ig from the circulation without need for replacement with albumin or fresh-frozen plasma because of the lower loss of albumin. In one study, there were no differences in outcome between 13 patients with GBS treated with immunoabsorption and 11 patients treated with PE.¹³¹ There was also no difference observed in clinical outcome in a retrospective review of patients with GBS treated with immunoabsorption, PE, or double-filtration plasmapheresis. Fewer complications were reported with immunoabsorption.¹²⁹ PE followed by IVIg was shown to provide no statistically significant additional benefit compared with PE alone or IVIg alone.⁸ Immunoabsorption followed by IVIg also appears to be no more effective than IVIg alone.¹³¹ In 2003, the Quality Standards Subcommittee of the AAN did not recommend sequential treatment with PE followed by IVIg or immunoabsorption followed by IVIg.¹⁰⁷

Early relapses ("treatment-related fluctuations") occur in ~10% of patients with GBS following PE or IVIg therapy. Rate of relapse is similar for PE and IVIg.^{7,8,14,132} A longer interval between onset and treatment¹³² and longer time to nadir¹³³ may be associated with a greater chance of relapse, but these associations likely reflect the characteristics of a patient's immune activation more than the timing of immunotherapy. For instance, some patients initially diagnosed with GBS—with preceding infectious episode, abrupt onset of neuropathic symptoms, and progression to clinical nadir within 4 weeks—are later rediagnosed as having CIDP because of the persistence of neuropathy deficits, based on ongoing demyelination caused by an active autoimmune process.¹³⁴ It is occasionally challenging to determine whether persistent deficits are caused by ongoing autoimmunity and demyelination or the secondary, residual axonal damage of previously active GBS. In such instances, repeat electrodiagnostic testing can be helpful, and if testing suggests ongoing demyelination, CIDP and treatment for CIDP (e.g., corticosteroids) should be considered.¹³⁴

Untreated patients with MFS generally recover completely within months.^{62,74,76,134-136} Patients with MFS or a variant of MFS (e.g., BBE) are frequently treated with immunotherapy (e.g., PE or IVIg), but no randomized trials of immunotherapy for these conditions have been published,^{62,136} and it is unknown whether immunotherapy hastens recovery or improves outcome in these patients. Clinical recovery was recently

analyzed retrospectively for 92 patients with MFS.¹³⁴ IVIg appeared to slightly hasten the start of amelioration of ophthalmoplegia and ataxia compared with PE or conservative therapy, but the times to disappearance of symptoms were similar for all three groups. Furthermore, at 1 year, only four (4%) patients with MFS had residual symptoms (three with diplopia, one with diplopia and ophthalmoplegia). Of the four patients who were not asymptomatic at 1 year, one had received IVIg, two had been treated with PE, and one had received conservative treatment. Patients with mild or uncomplicated MFS may perhaps be treated conservatively.¹³⁴ Patients with a more severe or complicated anti-GQ1b antibody syndrome, such as patients with BBE or with overlapping GBS, should probably be treated with immunotherapy.^{62,134}

SUPPORTIVE CARE

Even with immunotherapy, mortality from GBS is ~5% and may be as high as 20% for ventilated patients.¹³⁷ Diligent supportive care is essential to minimizing risk of mortality.^{21,23} Supportive care consensus guidelines have recently been published.²³

Monitoring and Management for Respiratory Failure and Airway Compromise

Neuromuscular respiratory failure requiring mechanical ventilation occurs in 20 to 30% of GBS patients.^{5,6,8,9,21,23-29} The neurologist must monitor for clinical signs of impending respiratory failure, including tachypnea, use of accessory muscles of respiration, asynchronous movements of the chest and abdomen, and tachycardia.²³ A vital capacity below 20 mL/kg, maximal inspiratory pressure (PI_{max}) less than 30 cm H₂O, or maximal expiratory pressure (PE_{max}) less than 40 cm H₂O predicts imminent respiratory arrest.^{23,138-140} Time from onset to admission of less than 1 week, facial weakness, inability to cough, inability to lift head off of pillow, and atelectasis on chest radiograph are other factors associated with respiratory failure and need for mechanical ventilation.^{25,141-143} Patients with demyelinating GBS also appear to be more likely to require mechanical ventilation.^{142,144} GBS patients who require mechanical ventilation are at high risk of developing a significant complication such as pneumonia, tracheo-bronchitis, pulmonary embolus, or bacteremia.¹⁴⁵ In some GBS patients, mechanical ventilation is indicated because of severe bulbar dysfunction causing difficulty with clearing secretions, increasing the risk of aspiration, and impairing gas exchange.^{23,25,28,29}

The mean duration of mechanical ventilation for GBS is 2 to 6 weeks.^{5,6,8,110,141} Weaning from the ventilator should follow improvement in serial pulmonary function tests and strength.²³ The necessity and

timing of tracheostomy should be based on the status of the individual in the context of an understanding that early tracheostomy improves patient comfort and airway safety and may help weaning, but that tracheostomy can result in permanent disfigurement and has been associated with life-threatening complications such as hemorrhage, infection, and inadvertent dislodgement of the tube.²³ Percutaneous dilatational tracheostomy may be advantageous over traditional tracheostomy by allowing less risk of accidental extubation and a better cosmetic outcome.¹⁴⁶ The decision to perform tracheostomy may wait 2 weeks following intubation, but if at 2 weeks the patient does not show significant improvement in pulmonary function tests and strength, then tracheostomy is probably indicated. If the pulmonary function tests are improving at 2 weeks, it may be preferable to wait 1 more week to allow an attempt at weaning from the ventilator. The ratio of an integrated pulmonary function score (VC [mL/kg] + PI_{max} [cm H₂O] + PE_{max} [cm H₂O]) calculated before intubation and then at day 12 after ventilation can be used to predict the need for tracheostomy.¹⁴¹ A summated pulmonary function ratio (day 12 score divided by score day before intubation) greater than 1.0 (i.e., improving parameters) is predictive of weaning from ventilator before 3 weeks, whereas a score less than 1.0 (i.e., worsening parameters) predicts the need for ventilation beyond 3 weeks.

Monitoring and Management for Autonomic Nervous System Dysfunction

Acute autonomic dysfunction develops in the majority of patients with GBS and is a significant cause of death in these patients. Cardiac and hemodynamic disturbances are the most serious and frequent complications, but GBS patients also frequently experience dysautonomia of bowel and bladder function. Sympathetic overactivity with parasympathetic underactivity is the most frequent pattern of autonomic outflow imbalance,³⁰⁻³³ but other patterns are seen, even throughout the disease course of an individual patient. Severe dysautonomia is most likely to occur in severe cases of GBS when patients are at their clinical nadir, such as the ventilated intensive care patient,^{34,36,147,148} although dysautonomia can occur early in the disease and resolve when paralysis is most severe.³⁴ Cardiac and hemodynamic disturbance manifesting as hypertension, postural hypotension, and tachycardia occur in the majority of GBS patients.^{32-35,149,150} Blood pressure and heart rate monitoring is strongly recommended for at least severely affected cases and should be considered for milder cases.^{23,33} Cardiovascular monitoring should continue until the patient has begun to clinically improve or, if the patient required ventilation, until ventilatory support has been discontinued.²³ Disturbances of heart rate and blood pressure should not always be assumed to be secondary to autonomic

neuropathy, particularly if sustained or if the patient's GBS is otherwise not severe or near clinical nadir. Pulmonary embolus, sepsis, dehydration, undertreated pain, and electrolyte disturbance need to be considered.

Sinus tachycardia is the most commonly encountered manifestation of dysautonomia in GBS.³⁴ Tachycardia is usually in the range of 100 to 120 beats per minute and is of little clinical significance.^{34,151} However, the presence of tachycardia signifies the presence of cardiac dysrhythmia in a GBS patient and may identify patients more at risk for severe bradycardia, heart block, and asystole. Severe bradycardia, heart block, and asystole that necessitates resuscitation and placement of a cardiac pacemaker occur infrequently.^{32,34,147,148} Endotracheal suction and pharmacological agents may provoke bradycardia and asystole.^{34,147} Hyperoxygenation before endotracheal suction minimizes the effects of severe bradycardia.

Hypertension occurs in approximately one third of GBS patients.^{34,149} Hypertension is most frequently paroxysmal but may be sustained.³⁴ Systolic blood pressure fluctuations may be extreme.³² Episodes of hypertension may be followed by hypotension or even sudden death.³² However, in most cases the hypertension is mild and transient and doesn't warrant specific therapy, particularly because some GBS patients experience labile blood pressures with hypotension following hypertension.³⁴ If the hypertension is severe and sustained, specific therapy may be necessary. In such cases, antihypertensives with a short half-life that can be titrated should be considered.³⁴ Postural and episodic hypotension occurs in up to one third of GBS patients.^{32,34} Maintenance of intravascular volume and avoidance of diuretics and other drugs that lower blood pressure, whenever possible, are important measures to minimize hypotension. GBS patients at risk for hypotension should not be left unattended in a sitting or upright position.³⁴

Urinary retention may occur in up to one third of patients.³²⁻³⁴ Bladder dysfunction is particularly common in GBS patients who are nonambulatory and require mechanical ventilation.³⁴ Urinary retention is likely secondary to sacral parasympathetic nerve and pudendal motor nerve dysfunction,^{33,34,152} and may be managed by a sterile, closed urinary drainage system.^{23,34,36}

Gastrointestinal motility disorders occur in ~15% of severely affected GBS patients.^{34,36} Upper gastrointestinal ileus may manifest as abdominal distention, pain, and cramping. Lower gastrointestinal ileus may manifest as constipation. Ileus may occur during the acute phase of worsening motor strength or later during the plateau or recovery phase. When ileus occurs during the acute GBS phase, it is usually accompanied by other features of dysautonomia (urinary retention, tachycardia, hypertension), and is presumed to occur on the basis of autoimmune damage of the parasympathetic vagal nerve

(stomach, small intestine, and much of the colon) and sacral parasympathetic nerves (distal colon). In the later phases of GBS, ileus is not associated with other dysautonomias but rather with prolonged immobility and mechanical ventilation.³⁶ Ileus is transient but may persist for days to weeks. Routine abdominal examination—including auscultation, measurement of abdominal girth, and, sometimes, abdominal radiography—should be standard for GBS patients, particularly those with other dysautonomias, and should continue for GBS patients who require mechanical ventilation.³⁶ Dysmotility can usually be effectively managed by suspension of enteral feeds, nasogastric suctioning, and erythromycin or neostigmine.^{23,36} Parenteral nutrition may be necessary if ileus persists for more than a few days. Rectal tubes are sometimes employed. When possible, avoidance of narcotics is also helpful in lessening dysmotility.

Prophylaxis for Deep Vein Thrombosis

Immobilization caused by GBS is a risk factor for development of DVT and pulmonary embolus. Subcutaneous fractionated or unfractionated heparin and support stockings are recommended for nonambulatory GBS patients until they are able to walk independently.²³ These recommendations are based on the evidence that subcutaneous heparin (5000 U every 12 hours) or enoxaparin (40 mg every day) reduces the incidence of DVT in acutely ill medical patients and in orthopedic and urological surgical patients,^{153,154} and the evidence that support stockings also reduce the risk of DVT.¹⁵⁵

Pain Management

Pain is reported in the majority of GBS patients^{4,17,19,20,23} and should be treated aggressively. In one prospective study of GBS patients,¹⁹ 47% reported pain that was "distressing," "horrible," or "excruciating." The most common pain types are deep, aching back and lower extremity pain and dysesthetic extremity pain. Pain intensity correlated poorly with degree of disability. In this study, 75% of GBS patients required oral or parenteral opioid analgesics and 30% were treated with intravenous morphine infusions (range, 1 to 7 mg/h). Narcotics may exacerbate gastrointestinal dysmotility and bladder distention so clinicians should carefully monitor for these side effects.^{23,36} Gabapentin (e.g., 15 mg/kg/d)¹⁵⁶ and carbamazepine (e.g., 300 mg daily)¹⁵⁷ are reported to be effective for pain reduction in patients with GBS. Other adjuvant therapy (e.g., mexiletine, tramadol, tricyclic antidepressant medications) may also be helpful in the short-term and long-term management of neuropathic pain.²³ Acetaminophen or nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory agents can also be tried as first-line treatment but are often not very effective.¹⁹

ISSUES FOLLOWING ACUTE CARE HOSPITALIZATION FOR PATIENTS WITH GUILLAIN-BARRÉ SYNDROME

Inpatient Rehabilitation

Approximately 40% of patients hospitalized with GBS will need inpatient rehabilitation.¹⁵⁸ Of patients who need inpatient rehabilitation, prior requirement for mechanical ventilation and other indicators of more severe GBS predict a longer rehabilitation stay. Rehabilitation management approaches for patients with GBS have been borrowed from the experiences of other neuromuscular diseases and also from the experiences of managing GBS patients during the acute care hospitalization. Many of the same issues that arise during the hospital stay remain during the inpatient rehabilitation stay. For instance, patients with GBS following inpatient hospitalization are likely to still be at increased risk for complications secondary to weakness and immobilization (e.g., DVT, decubitus ulcer, postural hypotension), sensory loss (e.g., compression neuropathy), dysautonomia (e.g., bladder overdistention), restrictive pulmonary function (e.g., sleep hypercapnia and hypoxia, pneumonia), weight loss (e.g., decubitus ulcer, compression neuropathy), and psychosocial concerns (e.g., depression). Muscle weakness may be associated with muscle shortening and joint contractures, complications that may be prevented by daily range-of-motion exercises.¹⁵⁸ Appropriate exercise regimens are used during rehabilitation to improve strength. Exercise regimens should avoid overworking muscle groups, which has been associated with paradoxical weakness and impedes recovery.^{159,160} Orthotics should be prescribed to maximize motor function. For patients with significant proprioceptive loss and ataxia, therapy should include techniques for sensory reintegration and repetitive exercises to improve coordination.¹⁵⁸

Five phases of recovery in GBS have been described: experiencing dependency, encountering helplessness, wanting to know more about GBS, discovering inner strength, and regaining independence.¹⁶¹ GBS support groups often play an important role in the recovery following hospitalization, both for the patient and the family of the patient. Patients and others can find information online using various search engines. A few recommended Web sites are <http://www.ninds.nih.gov/disorders/gbs/gbs.htm>, <http://www.gbsfi.com/>, and <http://www.gbs.org.uk/>.

Persistent Symptoms and Disability

Guillain-Barré syndrome has a serious long-term impact on the patient's work and private life, even 3 to 6 years after the onset of the illness.¹⁶² Recovery is usually slow and can take years. Patients and families need to be informed about the pace and extent of recovery to limit

overly optimistic or pessimistic expectations. Patients experience most of the recovery during the first year, especially the first 6 months, but the majority of patients continue to experience recovery well into the second year and often beyond.^{162,163} In one study of adult patients who were queried 1 year after onset, more than half felt that they were not yet back to baseline and were still improving.¹⁶³ At 1 year, two thirds still reported some disturbed sensation and/or loss of power; in many cases, these neuropathic symptoms were considered to be moderately or seriously annoying. Of those patients who reported feeling that they were cured at 1 year post-GBS (which was less than half the cohort), the mean time to the perception of cure was 230 days. At 1 year and even during the 3- to 6-year follow-up period, almost half the patients from this cohort reported an inability to function at home as well as before GBS and/or an alteration of leisure activity.^{162,163} One fifth of patients still noticed improvement occurring 2.5 to 6.5 years after GBS.¹⁶²

Persistent disability is seen in 20 to 30% of adult GBS patients^{9,142,145,163-168} but is much less common in children.^{169,170} Long-term disability in adults is more common with axonal GBS and severe GBS, for example, in mechanically ventilated patients.^{9,23} The majority of adult patients resume work but approximately one third of patients either take a less demanding job or ultimately don't return to work.^{162,163,166,171} In addition to electrophysiological characteristics, age, rapid progression, and disability at nadir are associated with long-term prognosis. A clinical prognostic scoring system (the Erasmus GBS Outcome Score [EGOS]) has recently been proposed.¹⁶⁸ This score takes into account the GBS disability score (Table 2) at 2 weeks after admission, absence or presence of antecedent diarrhea, and age at onset to determine the likelihood of not ambulating unassisted 6 months after GBS. To calculate the EGOS, a point is given for each GBS disability score point (i.e., 1 to 5) at 2 weeks (Table 2). Points are added to the GBS disability score as follows: 1 point is added for patients older than 60 years, 0.5 points for patients ages 41 to 60, and no points for patients 40 years and younger; 1 point is added if the patient experienced antecedent diarrhea. If the EGOS is 3, the data suggest there is a <5% chance the patient won't be walking independently at 6 months; if EGOS is 4, the chance is ~7%; if EGOS is

Table 2 Guillain-Barré Syndrome Disability Score¹²⁵

0 = healthy state
1 = minor symptoms and capable of running
2 = able to walk 10 m or more without assistance but unable to run
3 = able to walk 10 m across an open space with help
4 = bedridden or chairbound
5 = requiring assisted ventilation for at least part of the day
6 = dead

5, the chance is ~25%; if EGOS is 6, the chance is ~55%; and if EGOS is 7, the chance of not walking independently at 6 months is ~85%.¹⁶⁸

Severe fatigue is a sequela of GBS in approximately two thirds of adult patients.^{166,172,173} It can persist for years and is considered by most patients to be one of the most disabling residual symptoms.¹⁷³ Fatigue in patients who suffered GBS is significantly associated with reduced quality of life and is independent of muscle strength, sensory impairment, functional ability, and electrophysiological findings.^{173,174} Fatigue appears to not be associated with level of functional disability at nadir, antecedent infections, and time to follow-up after GBS.¹⁷² In a randomized, controlled trial of amantadine for severe fatigue following GBS, amantadine was not superior to placebo.¹⁷⁵ There are currently no additional published studies for other pharmaceutical agents, such as modafinil, for treatment of post-GBS fatigue. Another study of patients with severe fatigue 6 months to 15 years following GBS found that a 12-week bicycle exercise training program had positive effects on patient-reported fatigue, anxiety, depression, functional outcome, and quality of life. These patients performed three supervised sessions of bicycling per week for 12 weeks. Each session consisted of a five-minute warm-up and 30 minutes of cycling followed by 5 to 10 minutes of cool-down cycling.¹⁷⁶ Eighty-percent of patients in this cohort were motivated to continue with regular exercise. In general, clinicians should encourage patients with GBS to participate in an appropriate exercise program; for example, one that incorporates stationary bicycling, swimming, or walking.

Future Immunizations

There is either no or very minimal risk of GBS associated with routine immunization.¹⁷⁷⁻¹⁷⁹ Any increased risk of GBS following influenza vaccination is likely not greater than 1 or 2 additional GBS cases per million vaccinations.¹⁷⁷⁻¹⁷⁹ The potential benefits of influenza vaccination outweigh the possible risks for vaccine-associated GBS.¹⁸⁰

Recurrence of GBS following immunization also appears to be rare.²³ However, only limited data are available to guide clinicians and patients about whether future immunizations, such as annual influenza vaccination, are prudent for patients who have had GBS. One patient with a history of severe GBS went on to have 15 annual influenza vaccinations without incident.¹⁸¹ Of 311 patients in a British GBS patient organization who had received immunization after suffering GBS, 11 (3.5%) reported symptoms within 6 weeks of immunization.¹⁸² Symptoms such as fatigue, weakness, paresthesias or numbness were almost always mild and always transient, although one patient reported loss of unassisted ambulation for 6 weeks. No patient needed hos-

pitalization or treatment. Influenza, tetanus, and typhoid were the most common immunizations associated with relapse of GBS symptoms.

The current consensus guidelines state that immunizations are not recommended during the acute phase of GBS and probably "not during a period, possibly of 1 year" after onset of GBS.²³ Decisions about future immunizations should be made on a case-by-case basis, factoring in the benefits and risks of immunization and also the possibility that infection, such as influenza, may itself be associated with an increased risk of recurrence of GBS.¹⁸¹ If GBS occurred within 6 weeks of a particular immunization, more consideration should be given to avoid that particular immunization in the future.²³

REFERENCES

1. Levin KH. Variants and mimics of Guillain Barré syndrome. *Neurologist* 2004;10:61-74
2. Fisher M. An unusual variant of acute idiopathic polyneuritis (syndrome of ophthalmoplegia, ataxia and areflexia). *N Engl J Med* 1956;255:57-65
3. Prineas JW. Pathology of the Guillain-Barré syndrome. *Ann Neurol* 1981;9(suppl):6-19
4. Haymaker WE, Kernohan JW. The Landry-Guillain-Barré syndrome: clinicopathologic report of 50 fatal cases and a critique of the literature. *Medicine (Baltimore)* 1949;28:59-141
5. Efficiency of plasma exchange in Guillain-Barré syndrome: role of replacement fluids. French Cooperative Group on Plasma Exchange in Guillain-Barré Syndrome. *Ann Neurol* 1987;22:753-761
6. Plasmapheresis and acute Guillain-Barré syndrome. The Guillain-Barré Syndrome Study Group. *Neurology* 1985;35:1096-1104
7. van der Meché FG, Schmitz PI. A randomized trial comparing intravenous immune globulin and plasma exchange in Guillain-Barré syndrome. Dutch Guillain-Barré Study Group. *N Engl J Med* 1992;326:1123-1129
8. Randomised trial of plasma exchange, intravenous immunoglobulin, and combined treatments in Guillain-Barré syndrome. Plasma Exchange/Sandoglobulin Guillain-Barré Syndrome Trial Group. *Lancet* 1997;349:225-230
9. Chio A, Cocito D, Leone M, et al. Guillain-Barré syndrome: a prospective, population-based incidence and outcome survey. *Neurology* 2003;60:1146-1150
10. Hughes RA, Rees JH. Clinical and epidemiologic features of Guillain-Barré syndrome. *J Infect Dis* 1997;176(S2):S92-S98
11. Alter M. The epidemiology of Guillain-Barré syndrome. *Ann Neurol* 1990;27(Suppl):S7-S12
12. Willison HJ. The immunobiology of Guillain-Barré syndromes. *J Peripher Nerv Syst* 2005;10:94-112
13. Jacobs BC, Rothbarth PH, van der Meché FG, et al. The spectrum of antecedent infections in Guillain-Barré syndrome: a case-control study. *Neurology* 1998;51:1110-1115
14. Visser LH, van der Meché FG, Meulstee J, et al. Cytomegalovirus infection and Guillain-Barré syndrome: the clinical, electrophysiologic, and prognostic features.

- Dutch Guillain-Barré Study Group. *Neurology* 1996;47:668-673
15. Asbury AK, Cornblath DR. Assessment of current diagnostic criteria for Guillain-Barré syndrome. *Ann Neurol* 1990;27(suppl):S21-S24
 16. Green DM, Ropper AH. Mild Guillain-Barré syndrome. *Arch Neurol* 2001;58:1098-1101
 17. Winer JB, Hughes RA, Osmond C. A prospective study of acute idiopathic neuropathy. I. clinical features and their prognostic value. *J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry* 1988;51:605-612
 18. Hughes RA, Cornblath DR. Guillain-Barré syndrome. *Lancet* 2005;366:1653-1666
 19. Moulin DE, Hagen N, Feasby TE, Amireh R, Hahn A. Pain in Guillain-Barré syndrome. *Neurology* 1997;48:328-331
 20. Ravn H. The Landry-Guillain-Barré syndrome. A survey and a clinical report of 127 cases. *Acta Neurol Scand* 1967;43(suppl 30):1-64
 21. Ropper AH. The Guillain-Barré syndrome. *N Engl J Med* 1992;326:1130-1136
 22. Asbury AK. Diagnostic considerations in Guillain-Barré syndrome. *Ann Neurol* 1981;9(Suppl):1-5
 23. Hughes RA, Wijdicks EF, Benson E, et al. Supportive care for patients with Guillain-Barré syndrome. *Arch Neurol* 2005;62:1194-1198
 24. Gracey DR, McMichan JC, Divertie MB, Howard FM Jr. Respiratory failure in Guillain-Barré syndrome: a 6-year experience. *Mayo Clin Proc* 1982;57:742-746
 25. Lawn ND, Fletcher DD, Henderson RD, Wolter TD, Wijdicks EF. Anticipating mechanical ventilation in Guillain-Barré syndrome. *Arch Neurol* 2001;58:893-898
 26. Massam M, Jones RS. Ventilatory failure in the Guillain-Barré syndrome. *Thorax* 1980;35:557-558
 27. Newton-John H. Prevention of pulmonary complications in severe Guillain-Barré syndrome by early assisted ventilation. *Med J Aust* 1985;142:444-445
 28. Chevolet JC, Deleamont P. Repeated vital capacity measurements as predictive parameters for mechanical ventilation need and weaning success in the Guillain-Barré syndrome. *Am Rev Respir Dis* 1991;144:814-818
 29. Ropper AH, Kehne SM. Guillain-Barré syndrome: management of respiratory failure. *Neurology* 1985;35:1662-1665
 30. Flachenecker P, Hartung HP, Reiners K. Power spectrum analysis of heart rate variability in Guillain-Barré syndrome. A longitudinal study. *Brain* 1997;120(Pt 10):1885-1894
 31. Flachenecker P, Wermuth P, Hartung HP, Reiners K. Quantitative assessment of cardiovascular autonomic function in Guillain-Barré syndrome. *Ann Neurol* 1997;42:171-179
 32. Lichtenfeld P. Autonomic dysfunction in the Guillain-Barré syndrome. *Am J Med* 1971;50:772-780
 33. Zochodne DW. Autonomic involvement in Guillain-Barré syndrome: a review. *Muscle Nerve* 1994;17:1145-1155
 34. Truax BT. Autonomic disturbances in the Guillain-Barré syndrome. *Semin Neurol* 1984;4:462-468
 35. Tuck RR, McLeod JG. Autonomic dysfunction in Guillain-Barré syndrome. *J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry* 1981;44:983-990
 36. Burns TM, Lawn ND, Low PA, Camilleri M, Wijdicks EF. Adynamic ileus in severe Guillain-Barré syndrome. *Muscle Nerve* 2001;24:963-965
 37. Albers JW, Kelly JJ Jr. Acquired inflammatory demyelinating polyneuropathies: clinical and electrodiagnostic features. *Muscle Nerve* 1989;12:435-451
 38. Albers JW, Donofrio PD, McGonagle TK. Sequential electrodiagnostic abnormalities in acute inflammatory demyelinating polyradiculoneuropathy. *Muscle Nerve* 1985;8:528-539
 39. Ropper AH, Wijdicks EF, Shahani BT. Electrodiagnostic abnormalities in 113 consecutive patients with Guillain-Barré syndrome. *Arch Neurol* 1990;47:881-887
 40. Gordon PH, Wilbourn AJ. Early electrodiagnostic findings in Guillain-Barré syndrome. *Arch Neurol* 2001;58:913-917
 41. Cleland JC, Malik K, Thaisetthawatkul P, Herrmann DN, Logigian EL. Acute inflammatory demyelinating polyneuropathy: contribution of a dispersed distal compound muscle action potential to electrodiagnosis. *Muscle Nerve* 2006;33:771-777
 42. Cornblath DR, Mellits ED, Griffin JW, et al. Motor conduction studies in Guillain-Barré syndrome: description and prognostic value. *Ann Neurol* 1988;23:354-359
 43. McKhann GM, Griffin JW, Cornblath DR, Mellits ED, Fisher RS, Quaskey SA. Plasmapheresis and Guillain-Barré syndrome: analysis of prognostic factors and the effect of plasmapheresis. *Ann Neurol* 1988;23:347-353
 44. Crino PB, Zimmerman R, Laskowitz D, Raps EC, Rostami AM. Magnetic resonance imaging of the cauda equina in Guillain-Barré syndrome. *Neurology* 1994;44:1334-1336
 45. Gorson KC, Ropper AH, Muriello MA, Blair R. Prospective evaluation of MRI lumbosacral nerve root enhancement in acute Guillain-Barré syndrome. *Neurology* 1996;47:813-817
 46. Weiss MD. Root enhancement in GBS. *Neurology* 1997;48:1477
 47. Hughes R, Sanders E, Hall S, Atkinson P, Colchester A, Payan P. Subacute idiopathic demyelinating polyradiculoneuropathy. *Arch Neurol* 1992;49:612-616
 48. Oh SJ, Kurokawa K, de Almeida DF, Ryan HF Jr, Claussen GC. Subacute inflammatory demyelinating polyneuropathy. *Neurology* 2003;61:1507-1512
 49. Asbury AK, Arnason BG, Adams RD. The inflammatory lesion in idiopathic polyneuritis. Its role in pathogenesis. *Medicine (Baltimore)* 1969;48:173-215
 50. Berciano J, Coria F, Monton F, Calleja J, Figols J, LaFarga M. Axonal form of Guillain-Barré syndrome: evidence for macrophage-associated demyelination. *Muscle Nerve* 1993;16:744-751
 51. Feasby TE, Gilbert JJ, Brown WF, et al. An acute axonal form of Guillain-Barré polyneuropathy. *Brain* 1986;109(Pt 6):1115-1126
 52. Feasby TE, Gilbert JJ, Brown WF, et al. Acute "axonal" Guillain-Barré polyneuropathy. *Neurology* 1987;37:357
 53. Feasby TE, Hahn AF, Brown WF, Bolton CF, Gilbert JJ, Koopman WJ. Severe axonal degeneration in acute Guillain-Barré syndrome: evidence of two different mechanisms? *J Neurol Sci* 1993;116:185-192
 54. Ropper AH. Severe acute Guillain-Barré syndrome. *Neurology* 1986;36:429-432
 55. Griffin JW, Li CY, Ho TW, et al. Pathology of the motor-sensory axonal Guillain-Barré syndrome. *Ann Neurol* 1996;39:17-28
 56. Griffin JW, Li CY, Macko C, et al. Early nodal changes in the acute motor axonal neuropathy pattern of the Guillain-Barré syndrome. *J Neurocytol* 1996;25:33-51

57. Sobue G, Li M, Terao S, et al. Axonal pathology in Japanese Guillain-Barré syndrome: a study of 15 autopsied cases. *Neurology* 1997;48:1694-1700
58. Hafer-Macko C, Hsieh ST, Li CY, et al. Acute motor axonal neuropathy: an antibody-mediated attack on axolemma. *Ann Neurol* 1996;40:635-644
59. McKhann GM, Cornblath DR, Griffin JW, et al. Acute motor axonal neuropathy: a frequent cause of acute flaccid paralysis in China. *Ann Neurol* 1993;33:333-342
60. Hiraga A, Mori M, Ogawara K, Hattori T, Kuwabara S. Differences in patterns of progression in demyelinating and axonal Guillain-Barré syndromes. *Neurology* 2003;61:471-474
61. Hiraga A, Mori M, Ogawara K, et al. Recovery patterns and long term prognosis for axonal Guillain-Barré syndrome. *J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry* 2005;76:719-722
62. Overell JR, Willison HJ. Recent developments in Miller Fisher syndrome and related disorders. *Curr Opin Neurol* 2005;18:562-566
63. Yuki N, Taki T, Takahashi M, et al. Molecular mimicry between GQ1b ganglioside and lipopolysaccharides of *Campylobacter jejuni* isolated from patients with Fisher's syndrome. *Ann Neurol* 1994;36:791-793
64. Ogawara K, Kuwabara S, Yuki N. Fisher syndrome or Bickerstaff brainstem encephalitis? Anti-GQ1b IgG antibody syndrome involving both the peripheral and central nervous systems. *Muscle Nerve* 2002;26:845-849
65. Chaudhry F, Gee KE, Vaphiades MS, Biller J, Jay W. GQ1b antibody testing in Guillain-Barré syndrome and variants. *Semin Ophthalmol* 2006;21:223-227
66. Radziwill AJ, Steck AJ, Borruat FX, Bogousslavsky J. Isolated internal ophthalmoplegia associated with IgG anti-GQ1b antibody. *Neurology* 1998;50:307
67. Odaka M, Yuki N, Yamada M, et al. Bickerstaff's brainstem encephalitis: clinical features of 62 cases and a subgroup associated with Guillain-Barré syndrome. *Brain* 2003;126:2279-2290
68. Chiba A, Kusunoki S, Shimizu T, Kanazawa I. Serum IgG antibody to ganglioside GQ1b is a possible marker of Miller Fisher syndrome. *Ann Neurol* 1992;31:677-679
69. Chiba A, Kusunoki S, Obata H, Machinami R, Kanazawa I. Serum anti-GQ1b IgG antibody is associated with ophthalmoplegia in Miller Fisher syndrome and Guillain-Barré syndrome: clinical and immunohistochemical studies. *Neurology* 1993;43:1911-1917
70. Onodera M, Mori M, Koga M, et al. Acute isolated bulbar palsy with anti-GT1a IgG antibody subsequent to *Campylobacter jejuni* enteritis. *J Neurol Sci* 2002;205:83-84
71. Kashiwara K, Shiro Y, Koga M, Yuki N. IgG anti-GT1a antibodies which do not cross react with GQ1b ganglioside in a pharyngeal-cervical-brachial variant of Guillain-Barré syndrome. *J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry* 1998;65:799
72. Nagashima T, Koga M, Odaka M, Hirata K, Yuki N. Clinical correlates of serum anti-GT1a IgG antibodies. *J Neurol Sci* 2004;219:139-145
73. Nishimoto Y, Odaka M, Hirata K, Yuki N. Usefulness of anti-GQ1b IgG antibody testing in Fisher syndrome compared with cerebrospinal fluid examination. *J Neuroimmunol* 2004;148:200-205
74. Fross RD, Daube JR. Neuropathy in the Miller Fisher syndrome: clinical and electrophysiologic findings. *Neurology* 1987;37:1493-1498
75. Nagaoka U, Kato T, Kurita K, et al. Cranial nerve enhancement on three-dimensional MRI in Miller Fisher syndrome. *Neurology* 1996;47:1601-1602
76. Mori M, Kuwabara S, Fukutake T, Yuki N, Hattori T. Clinical features and prognosis of Miller Fisher syndrome. *Neurology* 2001;56:1104-1106
77. Willison HJ. Ganglioside complexes as targets for antibodies in Miller Fisher syndrome. *J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry* 2006;77:1002-1003
78. Schaublin GA, Michet CJ Jr, Dyck PJ, Burns TM. An update on the classification and treatment of vasculitic neuropathy. *Lancet Neurol* 2005;4:853-865
79. Mori K, Hattori N, Sugiura M, et al. Chronic inflammatory demyelinating polyneuropathy presenting with features of GBS. *Neurology* 2002;58:979-982
80. Keeseey JC. Clinical evaluation and management of myasthenia gravis. *Muscle Nerve* 2004;29:484-505
81. Cherington M. Clinical spectrum of botulism. *Muscle Nerve* 1998;21:701-710
82. Li J, Loeb JA, Shy ME, et al. Asymmetric flaccid paralysis: a neuromuscular presentation of West Nile virus infection. *Ann Neurol* 2003;53:703-710
83. Jeha LE, Sila CA, Lederman RJ, Prayson RA, Isada CM, Gordon SM. West Nile virus infection: a new acute paralytic illness. *Neurology* 2003;61:55-59
84. Halperin JJ. Lyme disease and the peripheral nervous system. *Muscle Nerve* 2003;28:133-143
85. Steere AC. Lyme disease. *N Engl J Med* 2001;345:115-125
86. Donofrio PD, Willbourn AJ, Albers JW, Rogers L, Salanga V, Greenberg HS. Acute arsenic intoxication presenting as Guillain-Barré-like syndrome. *Muscle Nerve* 1987;10:114-120
87. Grattan-Smith PJ, Morris JG, Johnston HM, et al. Clinical and neurophysiological features of tick paralysis. *Brain* 1997;120(Pt 11):1975-1987
88. Vedanarayanan VV, Evans OB, Subramony SH. Tick paralysis in children: electrophysiology and possibility of misdiagnosis. *Neurology* 2002;59:1088-1090
89. Albers JW, Fink JK. Porphyric neuropathy. *Muscle Nerve* 2004;30:410-422
90. Calderon-Gonzalez R, Rizzi-Hernandez H. Buckthorn polyneuropathy. *N Engl J Med* 1967;277:69-71
91. Piradov MA, Pirogov VN, Popova LM, Avdunina IA. Diphtheritic polyneuropathy: clinical analysis of severe forms. *Arch Neurol* 2001;58:1438-1442
92. Snider WD, Simpson DM, Nielsen S, Gold JW, Metroka CE, Posner JB. Neurological complications of acquired immune deficiency syndrome: analysis of 50 patients. *Ann Neurol* 1983;14:403-418
93. Rouillet E, Assuerus V, Gozlan J, et al. Cytomegalovirus multifocal neuropathy in AIDS: analysis of 15 consecutive cases. *Neurology* 1994;44:2174-2182
94. Hafer-Macko CE, Sheikh KA, Li CY, et al. Immune attack on the Schwann cell surface in acute inflammatory demyelinating polyneuropathy. *Ann Neurol* 1996;39:625-635
95. Ilyas AA, Willison HJ, Quarles RH, et al. Serum antibodies to gangliosides in Guillain-Barré syndrome. *Ann Neurol* 1988;23:440-447
96. Oomes PG, Jacobs BC, Hazenberg MP, Bänffer JR, van der Meché FG. Anti-GM1 IgG antibodies and *Campylobacter* bacteria in Guillain-Barré syndrome: evidence of molecular mimicry. *Ann Neurol* 1995;38:170-175

97. Sheikh KA, Nachamkin I, Ho TW, et al. *Campylobacter jejuni* lipopolysaccharides in Guillain-Barré syndrome: molecular mimicry and host susceptibility. *Neurology* 1998; 51:371–378
98. Vriesendorp FJ, Mishu B, Blaser MJ, Koski CL. Serum antibodies to GM1, GD1b, peripheral nerve myelin, and *Campylobacter jejuni* in patients with Guillain-Barré syndrome and controls: correlation and prognosis. *Ann Neurol* 1993;34:130–135
99. Willison HJ. Ganglioside complexes: new autoantibody targets in Guillain-Barré syndromes. *Nat Clin Pract Neurol* 2005;1:2–3
100. Olsson Y. Topographical differences in the vascular permeability of the peripheral nervous system. *Acta Neuropathol* 1968;10:26–33
101. Ho TW, Mishu B, Li CY, et al. Guillain-Barré syndrome in northern China. Relationship to *Campylobacter jejuni* infection and anti-glycolipid antibodies. *Brain* 1995;118 (Pt 3):597–605
102. Yuki N, Taki T, Inagaki F, et al. A bacterium lipopolysaccharide that elicits Guillain-Barré syndrome has a GM1 ganglioside-like structure. *J Exp Med* 1993;178: 1771–1775
103. Ho TW, Li CY, Cornblath DR, et al. Patterns of recovery in the Guillain-Barré syndromes. *Neurology* 1997;48:695–700
104. Chiba A, Kusunoki S, Obata H, Machinami R, Kanazawa I. Ganglioside composition of the human cranial nerves, with special reference to pathophysiology of Miller Fisher syndrome. *Brain Res* 1997;745:32–36
105. Brill V, Ilse WK, Pearce R, Dhanani A, Sutton D, Kong K. Pilot trial of immunoglobulin versus plasma exchange in patients with Guillain-Barré syndrome. *Neurology* 1996;46: 100–103
106. Donofrio PD. Immunotherapy of idiopathic inflammatory neuropathies. *Muscle Nerve* 2003;28:273–292
107. Hughes RA, Wijdicks EF, Barohn R, et al. Practice parameter: immunotherapy for Guillain-Barré syndrome: report of the Quality Standards Subcommittee of the American Academy of Neurology. *Neurology* 2003;61: 736–740
108. Plasma exchange in Guillain-Barré syndrome: one-year follow-up. French Cooperative Group on Plasma Exchange in Guillain-Barré Syndrome. *Ann Neurol* 1992;32:94–97
109. McKhann GM, Griffin JW, Cornblath DR, Mellits ED, Fisher RS, Quaskey SA. Plasmapheresis and Guillain-Barré syndrome: analysis of prognostic factors and the effect of plasmapheresis. *Ann Neurol* 1988;23:347–353
110. McKhann GM, Griffin JW, Cornblath DR, Quaskey SA, Mellits ED. Role of therapeutic plasmapheresis in the acute Guillain-Barré syndrome. *J Neuroimmunol* 1988;20:297–300
111. The French Cooperative Group on Plasma Exchange in Guillain-Barré Syndrome. Appropriate number of plasma exchanges in Guillain-Barré syndrome. *Ann Neurol* 1997; 41:298–306
112. Abd-Allah SA, Jansen PW, Ashwal S, Perkin RM. Intravenous immunoglobulin as therapy for pediatric Guillain-Barré syndrome. *J Child Neurol* 1997;12:376–380
113. Jansen PW, Perkin RM, Ashwal S. Guillain-Barré syndrome in childhood: natural course and efficacy of plasmapheresis. *Pediatr Neurol* 1993;9:16–20
114. Jones HR. Childhood Guillain-Barré syndrome: clinical presentation, diagnosis, and therapy. *J Child Neurol* 1996; 11:4–12
115. Vallee L, Dulac O, Nuyts JP, Leclerc F, Vamecq J. Intravenous immune globulin is also an efficient therapy of acute Guillain-Barré syndrome in affected children. *Neuropediatrics* 1993;24:235–236
116. Epstein MA, Sladky JT. The role of plasmapheresis in childhood Guillain-Barré syndrome. *Ann Neurol* 1990;28: 65–69
117. Raphaël JC, Chevret S, Hughes RA, Annane D. Plasma exchange for Guillain-Barré syndrome. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2001;(2):CD001798
118. Esperou H, Jars-Guinestre MC, Bolgert F, Raphael JC, Durand-Zaleski I. Cost analysis of plasma-exchange therapy for the treatment of Guillain-Barré syndrome. French Cooperative Group on Plasma Exchange in Guillain-Barré Syndrome. *Intensive Care Med* 2000;26: 1094–1100
119. Osterman PO, Fagius J, Lundemo G, et al. Beneficial effects of plasma exchange in acute inflammatory polyradiculoneuropathy. *Lancet* 1984;2:1296–1299
120. Yuki N, Tagawa Y, Hirata K. Minimal number of plasma exchanges needed to reduce immunoglobulin in Guillain-Barré syndrome. *Neurology* 1998;51:875–877
121. Lehmann HC, Hartung HP, Hetzel GR, Stuve O, Kieseier BC. Plasma exchange in neuroimmunological disorders: part 2. Treatment of neuromuscular disorders. *Arch Neurol* 2006;63:1066–1071
122. Dalakas MC. The use of intravenous immunoglobulin in the treatment of autoimmune neuromuscular diseases: evidence-based indications and safety profile. *Pharmacol Ther* 2004;102:177–193
123. Dalakas MC. Intravenous immunoglobulin in autoimmune neuromuscular diseases. *JAMA* 2004;291:2367–2375
124. Thornton CA, Ballow M. Safety of intravenous immunoglobulin. *Arch Neurol* 1993;50:135–136
125. Hughes RA, Newsom-Davis JM, Perkin GD, Pierce JM. Controlled trial prednisolone in acute polyneuropathy. *Lancet* 1978;2:750–753
126. Hughes RA, van Der Meché FG. Corticosteroids for treating Guillain-Barré syndrome. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2000;(3):CD001446
127. Hughes RA, Swan AV, van Koningsveld R, van Doorn PA. Corticosteroids for Guillain-Barré syndrome. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2006;(2):CD001446
128. van Koningsveld R, Schmitz PI, Meché FG, et al. Effect of methylprednisolone when added to standard treatment with intravenous immunoglobulin for Guillain-Barré syndrome: randomised trial. *Lancet* 2004;363:192–196
129. Okamiya S, Ogino M, Ogino Y, et al. Tryptophan-immobilized column-based immunoabsorption as the choice method for plasmapheresis in Guillain-Barré syndrome. *Ther Apher Dial* 2004;8:248–253
130. Haupt WF, Rosenow F, van der Ven C, Birkmann C. Immunoabsorption in Guillain-Barré syndrome and myasthenia gravis. *Ther Apher* 2000;4:195–197
131. Haupt WF, Rosenow F, van der Ven C, Borberg H, Pawlik G. Sequential treatment of Guillain-Barré syndrome with extracorporeal elimination and intravenous immunoglobulin. *J Neurol Sci* 1996;137:145–149
132. Romano JG, Rotta FT, Potter P, et al. Relapses in the Guillain-Barré syndrome after treatment with intravenous

- immune globulin or plasma exchange. *Muscle Nerve* 1998; 21:1327-1330
133. Visser LH, van der Meché FG, Meulstee J, van Doorn PA. Risk factors for treatment related clinical fluctuations in Guillain-Barré syndrome. Dutch Guillain-Barré Study Group. *J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry* 1998;64:242-244
 134. Mori M, Kuwabara S, Fukutake T, Hattori T. Intravenous immunoglobulin therapy for Miller Fisher syndrome. *Neurology* 2007;68:1144-1146
 135. Mori M, Kuwabara S, Fukutake T, Hattori T. Plasmapheresis and Miller Fisher syndrome: analysis of 50 consecutive cases. *J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry* 2002;72:680
 136. Overall JR, Hsieh S, Odaka M, Yuki N, Willison HJ. Treatment for Fisher syndrome, Bickerstaff's brainstem encephalitis and related disorders. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2007;1:CD004761
 137. Fletcher DD, Lawn ND, Wolter TD, Wijdicks EF. Long-term outcome in patients with Guillain-Barré syndrome requiring mechanical ventilation. *Neurology* 2000;54:2311-2315
 138. Gold R, Bayas A, Toyka KV. Autoimmune neuropathies—current aspects of immunopathologic diagnostics and therapy. *Nervenarzt* 2005;76:1009-1021; quiz 1022-1023
 139. Sunderrajan EV, Davenport J. The Guillain-Barré syndrome: pulmonary-neurologic correlations. *Medicine (Baltimore)* 1985;64:333-341
 140. Pontoppidan H, Geffin B, Lowenstein E. Acute respiratory failure in the adult. 2. *N Engl J Med* 1972;287:743-752
 141. Lawn ND, Wijdicks EF. Post-intubation pulmonary function test in Guillain-Barré syndrome. *Muscle Nerve* 2000;23:613-616
 142. Durand MC, Porcher R, Orlikowski D, et al. Clinical and electrophysiological predictors of respiratory failure in Guillain-Barré syndrome: a prospective study. *Lancet Neurol* 2006;5:1021-1028
 143. Sharshar T, Chevret S, Bourdain F, Raphael JCFrench Cooperative Group on Plasma Exchange in Guillain-Barré Syndrome. Early predictors of mechanical ventilation in Guillain-Barré syndrome. *Crit Care Med* 2003;31:278-283
 144. Durand MC, Lofaso F, Lefaucheur JP, et al. Electrophysiology to predict mechanical ventilation in Guillain-Barré syndrome. *Eur J Neurol* 2003;10:39-44
 145. Henderson RD, Lawn ND, Fletcher DD, McClelland RL, Wijdicks EF. The morbidity of Guillain-Barré syndrome admitted to the intensive care unit. *Neurology* 2003;60:17-21
 146. Holdgaard HO, Pedersen J, Jensen RH, et al. Percutaneous dilatational tracheostomy versus conventional surgical tracheostomy. A clinical randomised study. *Acta Anaesthesiol Scand* 1998;42:545-550
 147. Emmons PR, Blume WT, DuShane JW. Cardiac monitoring and demand pacemaker in Guillain-Barré syndrome. *Arch Neurol* 1975;32:59-61
 148. Favre H, Foex P, Guggisberg M. Use of demand pacemaker in a case of Guillain-Barré syndrome. *Lancet* 1970;1:1062-1063
 149. Singh NK, Jaiswal AK, Misra S, Srivastava PK. Assessment of autonomic dysfunction in Guillain-Barré syndrome and its prognostic implications. *Acta Neurol Scand* 1987;75:101-105
 150. McLeod JG. Invited review: autonomic dysfunction in peripheral nerve disease. *Muscle Nerve* 1992;15:3-13
 151. Clarke E, Bayliss RIS, Cooper R. Landry-Guillain-Barré syndrome: cardiovascular complications; treatment with A.C.T.H. and cortisone. *BMJ* 1954;2(4903):1504-1507
 152. Kogan BA, Solomon MH, Diokno AC. Urinary retention secondary to Landry-Guillain-Barré syndrome. *J Urol* 1981; 126:643-644
 153. Samama MM, Cohen AT, Darmon JY, et al. A comparison of enoxaparin with placebo for the prevention of venous thromboembolism in acutely ill medical patients. Prophylaxis in medical patients with enoxaparin study group. *N Engl J Med* 1999;341:793-800
 154. Collins R, Scrimgeour A, Yusuf S, Peto R. Reduction in fatal pulmonary embolism and venous thrombosis by perioperative administration of subcutaneous heparin. Overview of results of randomized trials in general, orthopedic, and urologic surgery. *N Engl J Med* 1988;318:1162-1173
 155. Clagett GP, Reisch JS. Prevention of venous thromboembolism in general surgical patients. Results of meta-analysis. *Ann Surg* 1988;208:227-240
 156. Pandey CK, Bose N, Garg G, et al. Gabapentin for the treatment of pain in Guillain-Barré syndrome: a double-blinded, placebo-controlled, crossover study. *Anesth Analg* 2002;95:1719-1723
 157. Tripathi M, Kaushik S. Carbamezapine for pain management in Guillain-Barré syndrome patients in the intensive care unit. *Crit Care Med* 2000;28:655-658
 158. Meythaler JM. Rehabilitation of Guillain-Barré syndrome. *Arch Phys Med Rehabil* 1997;78:872-879
 159. Herbison GJ, Jaweed MM, Ditunno JF Jr. Exercise therapies in peripheral neuropathies. *Arch Phys Med Rehabil* 1983;64:201-205
 160. Pitetti KH, Barrett PJ, Abbas D. Endurance exercise training in Guillain-Barré syndrome. *Arch Phys Med Rehabil* 1993;74:761-765
 161. Cooke JF, Orb A. The recovery phase in Guillain-Barré syndrome: moving from dependency to independence. *Rehabil Nurs* 2003;28:105-108, 130
 162. Bernsen RA, de Jager AE, Schmitz PI, van der Meché FG. Long-term impact on work and private life after Guillain-Barré syndrome. *J Neurol Sci* 2002;201:13-17
 163. Bernsen RA, de Jager AE, van der Meché FG, Suurmeijer TP. How Guillain-Barré patients experience their functioning after 1 year. *Acta Neurol Scand* 2005;112:51-56
 164. Rees JH, Thompson RD, Smeeton NC, Hughes RA. Epidemiological study of Guillain-Barré syndrome in south east England. *J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry* 1998;64:74-77
 165. The prognosis and main prognostic indicators of Guillain-Barré syndrome. A multicentre prospective study of 297 patients. The Italian Guillain-Barré Study Group. *Brain* 1996;119(Pt 6):2053-2061
 166. Bernsen RA, de Jager AE, Schmitz PI, van der Meché FG. Residual physical outcome and daily living 3 to 6 years after Guillain-Barré syndrome. *Neurology* 1999;53:409-410
 167. Prevots DR, Sutter RW. Assessment of Guillain-Barré syndrome mortality and morbidity in the United States: implications for acute flaccid paralysis surveillance. *J Infect Dis* 1997;175(SI):S151-S155
 168. van Koningsveld R, Steyerberg EW, Hughes RA, Swan AV, van Doorn PA, Jacobs BC. A clinical prognostic scoring system for Guillain-Barré syndrome. *Lancet Neurol* 2007;6: 589-594

169. Bradshaw DY, Jones HR Jr. Guillain-Barré syndrome in children: clinical course, electrodiagnosis, and prognosis. *Muscle Nerve* 1992;15:500-506
170. Vajsar J, Fehlings D, Stephens D. Long-term outcome in children with Guillain-Barré syndrome. *J Pediatr* 2003;142:305-309
171. de Jager AE, Minderhoud JM. Residual signs in severe Guillain-Barré syndrome: analysis of 57 patients. *J Neurol Sci* 1991;104:151-156
172. Garssen MP, Van Koningsveld R, Van Doorn PA. Residual fatigue is independent of antecedent events and disease severity in Guillain-Barré syndrome. *J Neurol* 2006;253:1143-1146
173. Merkies IS, Schmitz PI, Samijn JP, van der Meché FG, van Doorn PA. Fatigue in immune-mediated polyneuropathies. European Inflammatory Neuropathy Cause and Treatment (INCAT) Group. *Neurology* 1999;53:1648-1654
174. Garssen MP, van Doorn PA, Visser GH. Nerve conduction studies in relation to residual fatigue in Guillain-Barré syndrome. *J Neurol* 2006;253:851-856
175. Garssen MP, Schmitz PI, Merkies IS, Jacobs BC, van der Meché FG, van Doorn PA. Amantadine for treatment of fatigue in Guillain-Barré syndrome: a randomised, double blind, placebo controlled, crossover trial. *J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry* 2006;77:61-65
176. Garssen MP, Bussmann JB, Schmitz PI, et al. Physical training and fatigue, fitness, and quality of life in Guillain-Barré syndrome and CIDP. *Neurology* 2004;63:2393-2395
177. Hughes RA, Charlton J, Latinovic R, Gulliford MC. No association between immunization and Guillain-Barré syndrome in the United Kingdom, 1992 to 2000. *Arch Intern Med* 2006;166:1301-1304
178. Juurlink DN, Stukel TA, Kwong J, et al. Guillain-Barré syndrome after influenza vaccination in adults: a population-based study. *Arch Intern Med* 2006;166:2217-2221
179. Lasky T, Terracciano GJ, Magder L, et al. The Guillain-Barré syndrome and the 1992-1993 and 1993-1994 influenza vaccines. *N Engl J Med* 1998;339:1797-1802
180. Fenichel GM. Assessment: neurologic risk of immunization: report of the therapeutics and technology assessment subcommittee of the American Academy of Neurology. *Neurology* 1999;52:1546-1552
181. Wijdicks EF, Fletcher DD, Lawn ND. Influenza vaccine and the risk of relapse of Guillain-Barré syndrome. *Neurology* 2000;55:452-453
182. Pritchard J, Mukherjee R, Hughes RA. Risk of relapse of Guillain-Barré syndrome or chronic inflammatory demyelinating polyradiculoneuropathy following immunisation. *J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry* 2002;73:348-349